## Mathematical Tripos Part II: Michaelmas Term 2014 <br> Numerical Analysis - Lecture 1

## 1 The Poisson equation

Problem 1.1 (Approximation of $\nabla^{2}$ ) Our goal is to solve the Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} u=f \quad(x, y) \in \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}$ is the Laplace operator and $\Omega$ is an open connected domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with a Jordan boundary, specified together with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\phi(x, y) \quad(x, y) \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(You may assume that $f \in C(\Omega), \phi \in C^{2}(\partial \Omega)$, but this can be relaxed by an approach outside the scope of this course.) To this end we impose on $\Omega$ a square grid with uniform spacing of $h>0$ and replace (1.1) by a finite-difference formula. For simplicity, we require for the time being that $\partial \Omega$ 'fits' into the grid: if a grid point lies inside $\Omega$ then all its neighbours are in $\mathrm{cl} \Omega$. We will discuss briefly in the sequel grids that fail this condition.

Remark 1.2 Finite differences are neither the only nor, arguably, the best means of solving partial differential equations. Other methods abound: finite elements, boundary elements, spectral and pseudospectral methods, finite-volume methods, vorticity methods, particle methods, meshless methods, gas-lattice methods and, in the important special case of the Poisson equation (1.1), fast multipole methods. Yet, finite difference are the simplest. The only additional ones that will feature in this lecture course are spectral methods in chapter 3 .

Since $\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}$, we need to consider a finite-difference approximation of second derivatives.
Proposition 1.3 Let $g \in C^{4}[a, b]$ and $x \in(a+h, b-h)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x-h)-2 g(x)+g(x+h)=h^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{12} h^{4} g^{(4)}+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{6}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Expanding into Taylor series,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x+h)-g(x) & =h g^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2!} h^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{3!} h^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\cdots \\
g(x-h)-g(x) & =-h g^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2!} h^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(x)-\frac{1}{3!} h^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and adding two expressions, we see that the terms with odd derivatives vanish, and the LHS of (1.3) is equal to $\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{2}{(2 k)!} h^{2 k} g^{(2 k)}(x)+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 p+2}\right)$, where we took $p=2$.

## Corollary 1.4 The approximation

$$
u(x-h, y)+u(x+h, y)+u(x, y-h)+u(x, y+h)-4 u(x, y) \approx h^{2} \nabla^{2} u(x, y)
$$

produces a (local) error of $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4}\right)$.
Approximation 1.5 The aforementioned analysis justifies the five-point method

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}-4 u_{i, j}=h^{2} f_{i, j}, \quad(i h, j h) \in \Omega \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i, j}=f(i h, j h)$ are given, and $u_{i, j} \approx u(i h, j h)$ is an approximation to the exact solution. It is usually denoted by the computational stencil


Whenever $(i h, j h) \in \partial \Omega$, we substitute appropriate Dirichlet boundary values. Note that the outcome of our procedure is a set of linear algebraic equations, whose solution approximates the solution of the Poisson equation (1.1) at the grid points.
Approximation 1.6 It is easy (but laborious) to produce higher-order methods. You may verify, for example, that

produces a local error of $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{6}\right)$ (This scheme is just a linear combination of two five-point methods with steps $h$ and $2 h$, respectively) Needless to say, the implementation of this method is more complicated, since we might be 'missing' points near the boundary. Moreover, the set of algebraic equations that need be solved is less sparse than for the 5-point method, hence its solution is more expensive.

It is considerably easier to implement the nine-point method

but, as such, it again produces error of $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4}\right)$. However, this can be remedied by a clever trick of adding the term $\frac{1}{12} h^{4} \nabla^{2} f$ to the right-hand side, with the 5-point approximation to $h^{2} \nabla^{2} f$, which increases the order to $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{6}\right)$ (see Exercise 1).

Matlab demo: Gain more intuition on such finite difference approximation of the Laplacian by looking athttp://www.maths.cam.ac.uk/undergrad/course/na/ii/stencil/stencil.php. Download the Matlab GUI for Stencils and Images to see what effect differential operators have when applied to photographs.

Problem 1.7 (Non-equispaced grids) Since the boundary often fails to fit exactly into a square grid, we sometimes need to approximate $\nabla^{2}$ using non-equispaced points. Clearly, it is enough to be able to approximate a second directional derivative w.r.t. each variable and subsequently 'synthetize' an approximation to $\nabla^{2}$.

For example, suppose that grid points are given with the spacing $\quad h \quad \alpha h$, where $0<\alpha \leq$ 1. It is easy to verify by a Taylor expansion (or better by a Lagrange interpolation) that

$$
\frac{2}{\alpha+1} g(x-h)-\frac{2}{\alpha} g(x)+\frac{2}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} g(x+\alpha h)=g^{\prime \prime}(x) h^{2}+\frac{1}{3}(\alpha-1) g^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) h^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4}\right),
$$

with error of $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{3}\right)$ (note that $\alpha=1$ gives, as expected, $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4}\right)$ ).
Better approximation can be obtained by taking two equispaced points on the 'interior' side, i.e.


